Managing NIH Grants

Grants Management Key Systems to be considered

Mr. I.P. Singh
Director, Projects, Audits & Financial Services
MDS Inc.







NIAID Contractor





Overview of Presentation

- NIAID objectives:
 - To add to scientific knowledge base
 - Improve management of NIH grants
- NIAID Contract with MDSI
 - Improve management of NIH awards through financial systems review
- Benchmark of good systems Key Systems to be considered
- Common problems/areas of improvement









FOS Overview - 1

- What is a financial systems review?
 - Review of administrative and financial systems using the Foreign Organization System (FOS) review document
 - Ability to manage US funds in an accountable manner
- What the FOS is not
 - Not an audit









FOS Overview - 2

- FOS benefits the grantee
 - Assists Grantee to comply with NIH terms and conditions
 - Provides a global look at internal procedures
 - Promotes understanding between PIs and Administrators









FOS Overview – 3

- Timetable
- Conducted on site
- Documents needed
 - Completed FOS
 - Copies of other documents
- Grantee attendees
 - PI and Co PIs
 - Chief Administrative/Financial officer
 - staff
 - University/Institutional head
 - courtesy visit









Key Systems To Consider

- General
- 2. Application preparation
- 3. Policies and procedures
- 4. Grant monitoring after award
- 5. Fiscal and scientific overlap
- 6. Standards of conduct
- 7. Consortium arrangements
- 8. Indirect costs
- 9. Records management

- 10. Banking arrangements
- 11. Budget preparation and controls
- 12. Accounting system
- 13. Personnel
- 14. Internal Controls
- 15. Procurement
- 16. Property/Asset Management
- 17. Travel









- General
 - Good organizational charts
 - Responsible persons are identified
 - Clearly delegated authority









- Application Preparation
 - Prepared by PI
 - Reviewed and supported by institution
 - If consortium, reviewed by members
 - Budget preparation
 - Supported by verifiable information
 - Based on true costs
 - Reviewed by accounting









- Policies and Procedures
 - Written and well documented
 - Formulated by institution
 - All staff are trained in them
- Grant monitoring after award
 - Periodic programmatic reviews
 - Financial review of actual vs. budget









- Fiscal and Scientific Overlap
 - Avoid duplication of payment for
 - same research
 - same equipment
 - time of researchers
- Standards of conduct
 - Conflict of interest









- Consortium Arrangements
 - Standard agreement
 - Performance and cost is monitored
- Indirect Costs
 - Clearly identified
 - Properly used









- Records Management
 - Covers scientific and administrative records
 - Written policies and procedures
 - Where and for how long are they stored
 - How disposed
- Banking arrangements
 - Separate bank account for the grant
 - Maintain account into which funds can be transferred electronically
 - Authorized signatories (preferably 2)









- Budget preparation and controls
 - Reviewed by accounting for accuracy
 - Source (cost) information is documented
 - Once approved
 - Changed by authorized person(s)
 - Monitored monthly/quarterly
 - actuals vs. budget









Accounting system

- Accounting personnel are knowledgeable in NIH terms and conditions
- Double entry accrual accounting used
- Project/job cost ledger for the specific grant









- Personnel
 - Written policy manual
 - Job descriptions
 - Annual evaluations
 - Time and attendance is recorded
- Payroll
 - Prepared based on attendance records
 - Verified by a second person
 - Distributed by PI/accounting/direct deposit









- Internal Controls
 - Accounts audited annually
 - by independent accountant
 - OMB A133 requirement
 - by internal/government auditors
 - Financial systems comply with NIH standards
 - Separation of duties
 - Blank checks management









- Procurement
 - Written policies
 - Purchases approved by authorized manager
 - Three quotations for large purchases
 - Blanket purchase orders for supplies
- Property/Asset Management
 - Capital expenditure is defined
 - Items are recorded in register and managed
 - Property tags
 - Annual inventory
 - Disposal is authorized and recorded









- Travel
 - Written policies
 - How is travel approved
 - How are expense claims verified









Common Areas for Improvement

- Budgeting for true costs
- OMB A 133 audits
- Terms and conditions of the NIH grant
- Banking arrangements for transfer of funds
- Time and attendance records
- Salary supplements
- Written policies and procedures
- Standardize sub grant and consortium agreements









FOS Conclusion

- The review process is painless
- Not designed to audit but understand and help
- Benefits to grantees
 - Shows areas where changes need to be made
 - Gives global overview of scientific and administrative procedures and policies
 - Gives PIs and administrators better knowledge of each others' responsibilities under NIH grants
 - Promotes understanding between them









Conclusion

- We have discussed
 - NIAID's desire to assist in managing NIH grant funds
 - The FOS review
 - Key Systems to be considered in Grants Management
 - Common areas for improvement









Thank You







